UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,439	09/15/2003	Scott D. Haigh	P0883D	1567
23735 DIGIMARC C	7590 07/16/2007 ORPORATION		EXAMINER	
9405 SW GEMINI DRIVE			VILLECCO, JOHN M	
BEAVERTON	, OR 97008		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,439	HAIGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M. Villecco	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the communication to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	pril 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat crity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmont/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

1. The prosecution of this application has been transferred to Examiner John M. Villecco from the docket of Examiner Scott Egan. Any inquiry concerning this Office Action or earlier communications should be directed to the current Examiner of record. Current contact information is provided in the last section of this communication.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed April 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, applicant argues that the arrangement of Shank (U.S. Patent No. 3,737,226) teaches away from the claimed limitation of "a diffuser disposed between the light source and the object". The examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion. In particular, it is clear from both the specification and the Figures, that the diffuser (reflecting member, 34) is located between the light source (20) and the object (24). More specifically, the diffuser (reflecting member, 34) is located at a position in which it is in the optical path between the light source (20) and the object (24). In this way, the diffuser (reflecting member, 34) is located between the light source and the object.
- 3. In response to applicant's assertion that the examiner's interpretation of the reflecting member (34) as a diffuser is incorrect, the examiner points out col. 1, lines 65-67, which states that the reflecting members are the objects causing the diffusion. Additionally, col. 2, lines 41-52, discloses that the reflecting member (34) is coated with specific materials in order to effect diffusion. Therefore, the reflecting members can correctly be interpreted to be diffusers.

- 4. In the applicant's response to the rejection of claim 16, applicant argues the same thing as in claim 1. Please see the discussion of claim 1 on the preceding page.
- 5. In the applicant's response to the rejection of claims 19 and 27, applicant has amended the claim to read as the same thing as in claim 1. Please see the discussion of claim 1 on the preceding page.
- 6. In applicant's argument to the rejection of claim 31, they argue that Shank does not disclose "directing light from a light source directly towards an object". It is assumed that the applicant means that the light from the light source is directed towards the object without be reflected, as in Shank. However, the claim merely claims "directing light from the light source directly towards the object". Clearly, the light from the light source (20) that is reflected by the diffuser (34) is directed directly towards the object. See Figure 1. Therefore, Shank reads on the claimed limitations.
- 7. In applicant's argument to the rejection of claims 22 and 23, they argue that the "means" language of the claim requires a discussion of the structure as discussed in the specification.

 This argument is hard to understand. It is not clear if the applicant is invoke 112, 6th paragraph.

 Even if applicant is invoking 112, 6th paragraph, the examiner maintains that the structure of Shank is an *equivalent* structure meeting the claim language.
- 8. Regarding the rejection of claim 1 based on the Terada reference, applicant argues that it is improper for the examiner to read the reflector (111) of Terada to be both the inner surface of the housing and the reflecting surface. The examiner disagrees with this assertion. The reflector (111) performs both of the functions of the inner surface of the housing and the reflector, and

thus, can properly be interpreted as both. The claim language does not require them to be different.

9. For the reasons stated above, the rejections of claims 1-31 will be repeated. Additionally, please see the new grounds of rejection presented for claims 32-34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 11. Claims 1-6, 11, 12, 14-16, 19, 20, and 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shank (U.S. Patent No. 3,737,226).
- 12. Consider *claim 1*, Shank explicitly teaches "a lighting device that illuminates an object from a light source (light diffusion assembly, Fig 1, light source 20 and arrows shown in Fig 1), comprising:

a housing (housing 12 and reflection member 30, Fig 1) having at least one inner surface portion that is diffusely reflective (reflection members 26 and 30, Fig 1, column 2 lines 5-7 and 20-24)

an aperture disposed in the housing (transmitting opening 16, Fig 1), the aperture aligned with the light source (Fig 1, and column 1 lines 62-66);

a diffuser disposed between the light source and the object (reflection member 34, Fig 1 shows the two surfaces diffusing the light in more than one direction according to the arrows); and

a reflector (reflection members 26 and 30, Fig 1) disposed adjacent the aperture between the light source and the diffuser (as seen in Fig1 the light from the source is first reflected off the reflector, which is considered between the light source and diffuser)."

13. Consider *claim 2*, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the light source has illumination (column 1 lines 62-66), wherein:

the reflector is constructed and arranged to intercept the illumination from the light source (Fig 1 and column 2 lines 5-16);

the diffusively reflective inner surface portion of the housing is constructed and arranged to reflect the illumination intercepted by the reflector (Fig 1 and column 2 lines 20-28); and

the diffuser is constructed and arranged to receive the illumination reflected by the diffusively reflective inner surface of the housing (Fig1 and column 2 lines 35-39)."

14. Consider *claim 3*, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the light source has illumination (column 1 lines 62-66) and wherein the reflector and diffusely reflective inner surface portion are constructed and arranged to reflect substantially all the reflected first portion of the illumination onto the object being illuminated as indirect side lighting (column 2 lines 35-41 and column 2 lines 12-16), with respect to an observation axis of an image capture device capturing an image of the object (Fig 1 shows the camera facing the object and the arrows representing the light can be seen as side light which do not illuminate the object on the same axis that the picture is taken)."

15. Consider *claim 4*, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 3, wherein the lighting device is constructed and arranged to substantially reduce a visible shadow of the object on a backdrop in an image captured by the image capture device (column 2 lines 12-16 and lines 57-61);

the shadow being formed by the object intercepting light from the light source (column 2 lines 12-16 explain how the diffusion of the light stops the image from being lit directly, thus diminishing shadows, this explanation demonstrates that shadows would have been formed by the object intercepted light directly from the light source); and

the backdrop (column 3 lines 4-7) being positioned such that the object (object would be on subject support 24) is disposed between the backdrop and the light source (the arrows in Fig 1 representing the light source demonstrate that the object is between the light source and the background)."

- 16. Consider *claim* 5, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 4 wherein the shadow is substantially located behind the object (as seen in Fig 1 the object is illuminated by diffused side light thus avoiding "the light of source 20 from directly striking the subject in a manner that causes shadows" therefore the shadows would be behind the object, column 2 lines 12-16) with respect to the image capture device (camera 22 and Fig 1)."
- 17. Consider *claim* 6, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the reflector comprises a mirror aligned with respect to the light source (reflective member 26) to reflect a portion of the light towards the (see arrows in Fig 1) diffusely reflective inner surface (reflective member 34 Fig 1) and onto the object (subject support 24 Fig 1)."

Art Unit: 2622

18. Consider claim 11, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the light source has an exit aperture plane and wherein the reflector comprises at least two mirrors disposed orthogonal to each other (reflective member 26, as seen in Fig 2 each side of the reflective member is orthogonal to its adjacent side), each mirror disposed at an angle of approximately 45 degrees with respect to the exit aperture plane of the light source (Fig 1 and Fig 2 show the reflective member 26 with four sides which are clearly show as being approximately 45 degrees with respect to the axis of the light source)."

Page 7

- 19. Consider claim 12, Shank explicitly teaches "The lighting device of claim 11 wherein the at least two mirrors (reflective member 26 is made up of four sides of reflective material) intercept at least fifty percent of the illumination from the light source passing through the aperture (as seen in Fig 1 all of the light from the light source is intercepted by the reflective member 26)."
- 20. Consider claim 14, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the object being illuminated has a width and wherein the diffuser has a width greater than the width of the object (Fig 2 shows that the width of the diffuser or reflection member 34 is wider than the subject support glass 24 therefore the diffuser would be wider than the object)."
- 21. Consider claim 15, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the housing is disposed between the light source and the object (as seen in Figs 1 and 2, the housing 12 and reflective member 30 are between the light source 20 and the subject support glass 24)."
- 22. Consider claim 16, Shank explicitly teaches "a lighting device for illuminating an object (light diffusion assembly, Fig 1, light source 20 and arrows shown in Fig 1) comprising:

Art Unit: 2622

Page 8

a housing (housing 12 and reflective members 30, Fig 1) having an inner surface, at least a portion of the inner surface being diffusely reflective (reflection members 26 and 30, Fig 1, column 2 lines 5-7 and 20-24);

a light source (light source 20) disposed in the housing (Fig 1);

a diffuser positioned between the light source and the object (reflection member 34, Fig 1 shows the two surfaces diffusing the light in more than one direction according to the arrows); and

reflector (reflection members 26 and 30, Fig. 1) disposed adjacent the aperture between the light source and the diffuser (as seen in Fig1 the light from the source is first reflected off the reflector, which is considered between the light source and diffuser)."

23. Consider *claim 19*, Shank explicitly teaches "a method of illuminating an object with a light source (column 1 lines 21-26) and capturing an image of the object with an image capture device (camera 22), the method comprising:

providing a diffuser that diffuses light directed directly toward the object (reflection member 34, Fig 1 shows the two surfaces diffusing the light in more than one direction according to the arrows); and

reflecting a portion of the light from the light source for illuminating the object (see the arrows in Fig 1) such that substantially all the shadows within the field of view of the image capture device are located behind the object (column 2 lines 12-16 and lines 57-61).

24. Consider *claim 20*, Shank explicitly teaches "The method of claim 19 further comprising: providing a backdrop behind the object (column 3 lines 4-10); and

wherein reflecting a portion of the light comprises providing a pair of mirrors (reflection member 26) for reflecting a portion of the illumination from the light source (light source 20) off a diffusely reflective surface (reflection member 30) onto the object (Follow the path of arrows in Fig 1 from the light source 20 to the subject support 24)."

25. Consider *claim* 22, Shank explicitly teaches "a lighting device for illuminating an object (light diffusion assembly, Fig 1) from a light source (light source 20) so that an image capture device (camera 22) can capture an image of the object, comprising:

a light source (light source 20, Fig1); and

means for illuminating the object such that substantially all shadows of the object within the field of view of the image capture device are located behind the object (column 2 lines 12-16, lines 35-39 and lines 57-61)."

26. Consider *claim 23*; Shank explicitly teaches "a lighting device for illuminating an object (light diffusion assembly, Fig 1) from a light source (light source 20) so that an image capture device (camera 22) can capture an image of the object, comprising:

a light source (light source 20, Fig1); and

means for converting the light from the light source into indirect side lighting directed onto the object (see Fig 1, the arrows show the path of the diffused light which hits the subject support 24 as side light), whereby at least a portion of the visible shadows of the object are reduced (column-2 lines 12-16, lines 35-39 and lines 57-61)."

27. Consider *claim* 24, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 23, wherein the object to be illuminated is disposed adjacent to a backdrop (in Fig 1 the object would be placed on subject support 24 and the background is the adjacent interior surfaces 42, column 3 lines 4-

Art Unit: 2622

10) and wherein the means for converting reduces at least a portion of the shadows of the object that would appear on the backdrop (column 2 lines 12-16, lines 35-39 and lines 57-61)in an image captured by the image capture device (camera 22)."

Page 10

- 28. Consider claim 25, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the housing (housing 12 and reflection member 30) and the diffuser (reflection member 34) are integrally formed (all three parts mentioned are formed together to make up the assembly, description of assembly can be see in column 1 lines 52-67 through column 2 lines 1-39)."
- 29. Consider claim 26, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 16 wherein the housing (housing 12 and reflective members 30, Fig 1) and the diffuser (reflection member 34, Fig 1) are integrally formed (all three parts mentioned are formed together to make up the assembly, description of assembly can be see in column 1 lines 52-67 through column 2 lines 1-39)."
- 30. Consider claim 27, Shank explicitly teaches "a system that captures an image of an object (light diffusion assembly, Fig 1), comprising:
- a lighting device (light diffusion assembly, Fig 1) that illuminates the object from a light source (light source 20), the lighting device comprising:
- a housing (housing 12 and reflection member 30, Fig 1) having at least one inner surface portion that is diffusely reflective (reflection members 26 and 30, Fig 1, column 2 lines 5-7 and 20-24);

an aperture disposed in the housing (transmitting opening 16, Fig 1), the aperture. aligned with the light source (Fig 1, and column 1 lines 62-66);

a diffuser disposed between the light source and the object (reflection member 34, Fig 1 shows the two surfaces diffusing the light in more than one direction according to the arrows); and

a reflector (reflection members 26 and 30, Fig 1) disposed adjacent the aperture between the light source and the diffuser (as seen in Fig1 the light from the source is first reflected off the reflector, which is considered between the light source and diffuser); and

an image capture device (camera 22) constructed and arranged to acquire an image of an object that has been illuminated by the lighting device (column 1 lines 21-26)."

- 31. Consider *claim 28*, Shank explicitly teaches "the system of claim 27 wherein the lighting device is constructed and arranged to provide indirect side lighting to the object (see Fig 1, the arrows show the path of the diffused light which hits the subject support 24 as side light) to reduce visible shadows of the object in images captured by the image capture device (column 2 lines 12-16, lines 35-39 and lines 57-61)."
- 32. Consider *claim* 29, Shank explicitly teaches "the system of claim 27 wherein the lighting device is constructed and arranged to have a substantially compact size (Fig 1 clearly shows the object as being a compact size)."
- 33. Consider *claim 30*, Shank explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 4 wherein the shadow is substantially located below the object (as seen in Fig 1 the object is illuminated by diffused side light thus avoiding "the light of source 20 from directly striking the subject in a manner that causes shadows" therefore the shadows would be underneath or below the subject support 24, column 2 lines 12-16) with respect to the image capture device (camera 22 and Fig 1)."

34. Consider *claim 31*, Shank explicitly teaches "A method of capturing an image of an object, comprising:

directing light from a light source directly towards the object (as seen in Fig 1 the arrows of the light source begin in a direction that is directly at the subject support 24);

diffusing the light directed directly toward the object (column 2 lines 35-41);

reflecting a portion of the light from the light source for illuminating the object (see the light source arrows in Fig 1) such that substantially all the shadows within the field of view of the image capture device are located behind the object (as seen in Fig 1 the object is illuminated by diffused side light thus avoiding "the light of source 20 from directly striking the subject in a manner that causes shadows" therefore the shadows would be behind the object, column 2 lines 12-16); and

capturing an image of the object having substantially reduced shadows (column 2 lines 12-16 and lines 57-61), using an image capture device (camera 22, Fig 1)."

- As for *claim 32*, Shank discloses that the reflecting member reflects light after passing to the interior surfaces of the member (34). See column 2, lines 35-39. in other words, light passes through diffusion layers of the member (34) to get to the reflecting surface. Furthermore, the member has coatings of materials in order to diffuse the light. See column 2, lines 41-52. Therefore, it is inherent that the at least some of the light passes through the diffuser towards the object (24).
- 36. With regard to *claim 33*, as shown in Figure 1 of Shank, the diffuser (34) is located between the inner reflecting surface (26 and 30) and the object (24).

Art Unit: 2622

37. Regarding *claim 34*, as shown in Figure 1, if the y-axis is defined as the axis parallel to

the surface of the housing (12), the length of the diffuser (34) in the y direction is shorter than the

Page 13

housing (12) in the y direction.

38. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

39. Claims 1, 7, 9, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Terada (U.S. Patent No. 6,381,415).

40. Consider claim 1, Terada explicitly teaches "a lighting device that illuminates an object

from a light source (flash apparatus Fig 10), comprising:

a housing (reflector 11 and 111 Fig 2A-2B and Fig 4) having at least one inner surface

portion that is diffusely reflective (all surfaces of the reflector reflect light);

an aperture disposed in the housing (Fig 2A shows the housing with a cut out hole that

the flash lamp 10 is place through, Fig 4 also shows the same), the aperture aligned with the light

source;

a diffuser disposed between the light source and the object (diffuser 112 Fig 4);

a reflector (reflector 111 Fig 4) disposed adjacent the aperture between the light source

and the diffuser (it is shown in Fig 4 that the reflector is between the light source and the

diffuser) (see also column 5 lines 26-35)."

Art Unit: 2622

41. Consider *claim* 7, Terada explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the diffuser comprises a substantially translucent panel capable of diffusing light passing through the panel (column 5 lines 65-67 through column 6 lines 1-4)."

Page 14

- 42. Consider *claim 9*, Terada explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the light source emits a plurality of light rays (column 5 lines 24-27) and wherein the diffuser is positioned to intercept at least a portion of the light rays (Fig 4, and 5A-5C) from the light source within a predetermined steradian angle directed toward the object (column 6 lines 5-22)."
- 43. Consider *claim 10*, Terada explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 9 wherein the diffuser is positioned to intercept substantially all the light rays from the light source (Fig 4, and 5A-5C show a majority of the light rays from the source being diffused) within a predetermined steradian angle directed towards the object (column 6 lines 5-22)."
- 44. Consider *claim 13*, Terada explicitly teaches "the lighting device of claim 1 wherein the housing includes a substantially concave portion (column 5 lines 30-33 and Figs 2A and 2B show the bell shaped reflector and its concave properties)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 45. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 46. <u>Claims 17, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u> over Shank (U.S. Patent No. 3,737,226) in view of Chang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,915,237).

Art Unit: 2622

47. Consider claim 17, Shank explicitly teaches the lighting device of claim 16. However, Shank does not explicitly teach that the light source comprises a strobe. In the same field of endeavor, Chang et al. teach a container inspection system which takes images of containers, using cameras 42, that are illuminated by a light source, flash from lamps 92, that is diffused by translucent panel 44. Chang et al. further teach that the light source is made up of strobe lamps 92. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the use of strobe lamps found in Chang et al. as the light source found in the light device described in Shank in order to save power and provide a safer bulb that will not generate as much heat as a constant light source.

Page 15

- 48. Consider claim 18, Chang et al. further teach the use of a diffuser coupled to the strobe light (light emitted from strobe lamp 92 is diffused through translucent panel 44 column 5.lines 35-36).
- 49. Consider claim 21, Shank explicitly teaches the method of claim 19. However, Shank does not explicitly teach that the light source is a strobe. In the same field of endeavor, Chang et al. teach a container inspection system which takes images of containers, using cameras 42, that are illuminated by a light source, flash from lamps 92, that is diffused by translucent panel 44. Chang et al. further teach that the light source is strobe lamps 92. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the use of strobe lamps found in Chang et al. as the light source found in the light device described in Shank in order to save power and provide a safer bulb that will not generate as much heat as a constant light source.

Art Unit: 2622

50. <u>Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terada (U.S. Patent No. 6,381,415).</u>

Page 16

- 51. Consider *claim 8*, Terada explicitly teaches the lighting device of claim 7 with a translucent panel for diffusing light (column 5 lines 65-67 through column 6 lines 1-4).

 However, Terada does not explicitly teach that the diffuser is a synthetic plastic material.

 However, Official Notice (MPEP § 2144.03) is taken that using a synthetic plastic material for a diffuser is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the diffuser found in Terada out of a synthetic plastic in order to provide an inexpensive, light weight, and easy to manufacture diffuser.
- 52. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (571) 272-7319.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John M. Villecco

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

June 28, 2007